IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON EUGENE DIVISION

, \
Case No. 6:12-CV-00573-HO
) ORDER
))))))))))))))))))

The parties were ordered to show cause in writing why USDC Case No. 6:11-cv-6332-HO, Rigor v. Fremont Investment et al, should not be dismissed as redundant. Defendants have responded

that they do not oppose the dismissal. [#18]. To date plaintiff has not responded.

Defendants were also ordered to show cause why their Motions to Dismiss [#5; #10] should not therefore be dismissed as moot. [#16]. Defendants agree that, with the dismissal of Case No. 11-6332-HO, their Motions to Dismiss [#5; #10] are moot. [#18].

Conclusion

USDC Case No. 6:11-cv-6332-HO is hereby DISMISSED as redundant. Defendants' Motions to Dismiss [#5; #10] are DENIED as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED this _____ day of August, 2012.

2 - ORDER